

NORDIC HOOPS ANALYTICS

TECHNICAL GAME REPORT

BOX SCORE + PLAY-BY-PLAY ANALYSIS



Fyllingen 110 - 68 Tromsø Storm

26/09/2026 | BLNO MEN

EXECUTIVE ANALYST REPORT

MATCH VERDICT

Fyllingen won by 42 through the combined effect of 47.5 points of offensive-rating advantage, stronger shot conversion and the ability to protect more possessions.

- 1** SHOT VALUE: Fyllingen 60% eFG% versus Tromsø Storm 41.9%.
- 2** POSSESSION SECURITY: TOV% was 13.6% versus 18.5%.
- 3** OFFENSIVE REBOUNDING: OREB totals were 12 versus 5; extra chances must be connected to efficient scoring.
- 4** PACE: estimated possessions were 90.8 and 92.3, not simply the number of field-goal attempts.
- 5** GAME CONTROL: the scoring flow below identifies when the margin expanded or contracted.


SCORING FLOW AND TEMPO

PERIOD	Fyllingen	Tromsø Storm	CUMULATIVE
Q1	18	22	18 - 22
Q2	29	14	47 - 36
Q3	26	15	73 - 51
Q4	37	17	110 - 68

SCORING RATE / GAME TEMPO

METRIC	Fyllingen	Tromsø Storm
Points per minute	2.75	1.7
Points per possession	1.211	0.737
Possessions per minute	2.27	2.31
Seconds per possession	26.4	26
Estimated ORTG	121.1	73.7

CUMULATIVE SCORE



ANALYST NOTE

The pace profile is separated from the scoring profile: a team can play at similar volume but generate a very different number of points per possession. Fyllingen scored 1.211 points per estimated possession.

COMPLETE TEAM BOX SCORE

STAT	Fyllingen	Tromsø Storm	WHY IT MATTERS
Points	110	68	42
FGM - FGA	39 - 80	27 - 74	12 makes
2PT	21/40	19/41	interior conversion
3PT	18/40	8/33	perimeter volume
FT	14/20	6/12	line pressure
OREB - DREB	12 - 35	5 - 28	7 OREB
Total rebounds	47	33	second chances
Assists	23	15	creation
Turnovers	14	18	possession cost
Steals	12	7	pressure
Blocks	5	1	rim events

ADVANCED TEAM PROFILE

METRIC	Fyllingen	Tromsø Storm	INTERPRETATION
ORTG	121.1	73.7	Fyllingen offensive edge
DRTG	73.7	121.1	lower is better
NET RTG	47.5	-47.5	single-game net
eFG%	60%	41.9%	shot value
TS%	61.9%	42.9%	free throws included
TOV%	13.6%	18.5%	possessions ending early
OREB%	30%	12.5%	second-chance share
FTr	25%	16.2%	FTA per FGA
AST/FGM%	59%	55.6%	assisted makes
3PA rate	50%	44.6%	perimeter diet

Possessions = FGA + 0.44 x FTA - OREB + TOV. DRTG uses opponent points over each team's estimated possessions.

SHOT ECONOMY

SHOOTING CHANNEL	Fyllingen	Tromsø Storm	ANALYTICAL READING
Points from 2PT	42	38	interior scoring
Points from 3PT	54	24	perimeter scoring
Points from FT	14	6	line pressure
2PT FG%	52.5%	46.3%	conversion
3PT FG%	45%	24.2%	perimeter conversion
FT%	70%	50%	free-throw conversion
Paint / 2PT attempt share	50%	55.4%	interior volume
3PT attempt share	50%	44.6%	perimeter volume

WHAT THIS MEANS ON COURT

The comparison must distinguish makes, attempts and points. Identical three-point makes can coexist with very different efficiency if one team needs more attempts; the higher-leverage separator is usually the combination of two-point conversion, turnovers and free-throw pressure.

SHOT-TIME PROFILE

WINDOW	H FGA	H FG%	H eFG%	H PTS	A FGA	A FG%	A eFG%	A PTS
EARLY 24-17 sec	21	61.9%	76.2%	32	14	42.9%	46.4%	13
MIDDLE 16-9 sec	36	41.7%	51.4%	37	36	33.3%	41.7%	30
LATE 8-0 sec	17	52.9%	61.8%	21	15	53.3%	56.7%	17
CLASSIFIED TOTAL	74	52.7%	52.7%	96	65	41.5%	41.5%	62

IMPORTANT INTERPRETATION

Classified attempts: 74/80 for Fyllingen and 65/74 for Tromsø Storm. No official shot-clock field exists in the source; windows are reconstructed from game-clock events, made baskets, rebounds, turnovers and qualifying resets.

POSSESSION BATTLE

POSSESSION EVENT	Fyllingen	Tromsø Storm	COACHING INTERPRETATION
Estimated possessions	90.8	92.3	volume
Turnovers	14	18	lost opportunities
Steals	12	7	disruption
Offensive rebounds	12	5	extended possessions
Defensive rebounds	35	28	finished sequences
Total rebounds	47	33	overall board
Blocks	5	1	rim protection

PBP events loaded: 964. Scoring is checked against the official final score before a report is generated.

Fyllingen - FULL PLAYER TABLE

PLAYER	MIN	PTS	FGA	3PA	FTA	2P%	3P%	eFG%	TS%	USG%	AST	TO	REB
Kalob James Ledoux	34.7	40	23	16	5	57.1	56.3	76.1	79.4	31.1	7	3	7
Troy Barnies	27.6	10	6	5	2	0	60	75	72.7	9.8	1	2	9
Davonte Ray Davis	27.5	23	19	8	2	54.5	37.5	55.3	57.8	25.2	9	3	7
Fredrik Kleivdal	24.2	6	8	4	2	25	25	31.3	33.8	12	0	2	1
Sigurd Hakami Sandal	20.9	10	7	3	3	100	0	57.1	60.1	10.3	2	1	6
Nikolas Kvåle Skouen	17.2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	4.4	0	2	4
Pol Olivier Cañadell	14	7	6	0	2	50	0	50	50.9	7.6	3	0	3
Evert Dahlberg	12.5	12	5	3	4	100	66.7	100	88.8	7.4	1	0	1
Rory Leandro Kitwana Pantophlé	11.1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.2	0	1	5
Intele Kevin Lomboto	5.2	2	3	0	0	33.3	0	33.3	33.3	3.3	0	0	3
Nicolas Gundersen Ballone	5.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

eFG% accounts for the added value of three-point makes. TS% includes free throws. USG% estimates the share of team possessions finished by the player.

Tromsø Storm - FULL PLAYER TABLE

PLAYER	MIN	PTS	FGA	3PA	FTA	2P%	3P%	eFG%	TS%	USG%	AST	TO	REB
Johannes Rydningen Lange	39.2	25	28	12	2	56.3	16.7	42.9	43.3	38.9	7	7	4
Anders Isaksen Solem	30.1	15	7	5	4	50	80	100	85.6	12.7	2	3	6
Jakob Heier Kantola	25.1	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	4.3	3	1	4
Isak Emanuel Ramirez Nilsen	24.1	9	7	2	4	40	50	50	51.4	12.7	1	3	9
Trym Andreas Engelsen	22.4	11	13	8	2	60	12.5	34.6	39.6	16.1	0	1	2
Erlend Suhr Fandrem	19.5	2	3	0	0	33.3	0	33.3	33.3	3.3	1	0	4
David Berntsen	15.3	4	4	0	0	50	0	50	50	4.3	1	0	2
Brage Haftor Engelsen	14.2	2	5	2	0	33.3	0	20	20	7.6	0	2	1
Håkon Andre Skog	9.9	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	5.4	0	1	1

eFG% accounts for the added value of three-point makes. TS% includes free throws. USG% estimates the share of team possessions finished by the player.

PLAYER PRODUCTION PER 100

PLAYER	TEAM	PTS/100	REB/100	AST/100	STL/100	TO/100	TS%	USG%
Kalob James Ledoux	Fyllingen	44.1	7.7	7.7	2.2	3.3	79.4	31.1
Johannes Rydningen Lange	Tromsø Storm	27.1	4.3	7.6	3.3	7.6	43.3	38.9
Davonte Ray Davis	Fyllingen	25.3	7.7	9.9	1.1	3.3	57.8	25.2
Anders Isaksen Solem	Tromsø Storm	16.3	6.5	2.2	1.1	3.3	85.6	12.7
Evert Dahlberg	Fyllingen	13.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	0	88.8	7.4
Trym Andreas Engelsen	Tromsø Storm	11.9	2.2	0	0	1.1	39.6	16.1
Troy Barnies	Fyllingen	11	9.9	1.1	3.3	2.2	72.7	9.8
Sigurd Hakami Sandal	Fyllingen	11	6.6	2.2	2.2	1.1	60.1	10.3
Isak Emanuel Ramirez Nilsen	Tromsø Storm	9.8	9.8	1.1	3.3	3.3	51.4	12.7
Pol Olivier Cañadell	Fyllingen	7.7	3.3	3.3	1.1	0	50.9	7.6
Fredrik Kleivdal	Fyllingen	6.6	1.1	0	0	2.2	33.8	12
David Berntsen	Tromsø Storm	4.3	2.2	1.1	0	0	50	4.3
Intele Kevin Lomboto	Fyllingen	2.2	3.3	0	0	0	33.3	3.3
Erlend Suhr Fandrem	Tromsø Storm	2.2	4.3	1.1	0	0	33.3	3.3
Brage Haftor Engelsen	Tromsø Storm	2.2	1.1	0	0	2.2	20	7.6
Jakob Heier Kantola	Tromsø Storm	0	4.3	3.3	0	1.1	0	4.3
Håkon Andre Skog	Tromsø Storm	0	1.1	0	0	1.1	0	5.4
Nikolas Kvåle Skouen	Fyllingen	0	4.4	0	1.1	2.2	0	4.4
Rory Leandro Kitwana Pantophlet	Fyllingen	0	5.5	0	1.1	1.1	0	2.2
Nicolas Gundersen Ballone	Fyllingen	0	1.1	0	0	0	0	0

Per 100 values are normalised to each team's estimated possessions. They are not season averages and should be interpreted alongside minutes and role.

PLAYER-LEVEL ANALYST READ

Fyllingen: EFFICIENT CORE

Evert Dahlberg (12 pts, 88.8 TS%, 13.2 PTS/100), Anders Isaksen Solem (15 pts, 85.6 TS%, 16.3 PTS/100), Kalob James Ledoux (40 pts, 79.4 TS%, 44.1 PTS/100) led the most efficient scoring profiles among players with a meaningful attempt sample.

SCORING DISTRIBUTION

The leading scorers were Kalob James Ledoux (40), Johannes Rydningen Lange (25), Davonte Ray Davis (23). The key question is whether the scoring came from sustainable shot quality, free throws or high-volume attempts.

CREATION AND SECURITY

Davonte Ray Davis supplied 9 assists. Johannes Rydningen Lange recorded 7 turnovers, so creation quality must be evaluated together with possession cost.

DATA, METHODS AND CONFIDENCE

OFFICIAL BOX SCORE

Team and player totals come from the official match record.

POSSESSION FORMULA

Estimated possessions = FGA + 0.44 x FTA - OREB + TOV.

ADVANCED RATES

ORTG, DRTG, NET RTG, eFG%, TS%, TOV%, OREB%, FTr, AST/FGM% and 3PA rate are calculated from the box score.

PLAYER RATES

PTS/100, REB/100, AST/100, STL/100 and TO/100 use each team's estimated possessions. USG% is the player's estimated finishing share.

SHOT-TIME RECONSTRUCTION

The play-by-play has game-clock events but no official shot-clock field. Possession starts and resets are reconstructed from event order.

QUALITY CONTROL

964 play-by-play events were loaded; scoring is validated against the final score and malformed parent events are excluded from chronology calculations.

LIMITATION

This is a one-game descriptive analysis. It explains this match and does not establish a repeatable team identity without a larger sample.

FINAL COACHING CONCLUSIONS

FOR FYLLINGEN

Protect the most efficient shot areas, reduce possessions ending in live-ball turnovers and connect offensive rebounds to higher-value attempts. Compare the team's 2PT, 3PT and free-throw profile before making a shooting-only diagnosis.

FOR TROMSØ STORM

Preserve the possession and shot-quality advantages that produced the result, but test whether the same profile survives against a different defensive scheme and a larger sample.

MATCH IN ONE SENTENCE

Fyllingen combined the stronger points-per-possession profile with the better balance between shot value, possession security and scoring distribution.