NORDIC HOOPS ANALYTICS

TECHNICAL GAME REPORT

BOX SCORE + PLAY-BY-PLAY ANALYSIS

Bærum Basket 93 - 103 Ammerud BLNO

20/09/2026 | BLNO MEN

EXECUTIVE ANALYST REPORT

MATCH VERDICT

Ammerud BLNO won by 10 through the combined effect of 8.5 points of offensive-rating advantage, stronger shot conversion and the ability to protect more possessions.

1. SHOT VALUE: Bærum Basket 60.6% eFG% versus Ammerud BLNO 55.3%.

2. POSSESSION SECURITY: TOV% was 18.2% versus 15.6%.

3. OFFENSIVE REBOUNDING: OREB totals were 6 versus 13; extra chances must be connected to efficient scoring.

4. PACE: estimated possessions were 87.3 and 89.6, not simply the number of field-goal attempts.

5. GAME CONTROL: the scoring flow below identifies when the margin expanded or contracted.

SCORING FLOW AND TEMPO

PERIOD	Bærum Basket	Ammerud BLNO	CUMULATIVE
Q1	27	17	27 - 17
Q2	16	32	43 - 49
Q3	26	31	69 - 80
Q4	24	23	93 - 103

SCORING RATE / GAME TEMPO

METRIC	Bærum Basket	Ammerud BLNO
Points per minute	2.33	2.58
Points per possession	1.066	1.15
Possessions per minute	2.18	2.24
Seconds per possession	27.5	26.8
Estimated ORTG	106.6	115

CUMULATIVE SCORE



Bærum Basket 93 Ammerud BLNO 103

ANALYST NOTE

The pace profile is separated from the scoring profile: a team can play at similar volume but generate a very different number of points per possession. Ammerud BLNO scored 1.15 points per estimated possession.

COMPLETE TEAM BOX SCORE

STAT	Bærum Basket	Ammerud BLNO	WHY IT MATTERS
Points	93	103	-10
FGM - FGA	33 - 71	40 - 76	-7 makes
2PT	13/27	36/57	interior conversion
3PT	20/44	4/19	perimeter volume
FT	7/12	19/24	line pressure
OREB - DREB	6 - 18	13 - 30	-7 OREB
Total rebounds	24	43	second chances
Assists	25	22	creation
Turnovers	17	16	possession cost
Steals	8	8	pressure
Blocks	1	1	rim events

ADVANCED TEAM PROFILE

METRIC	Bærum Basket	Ammerud BLNO	INTERPRETATION
ORTG	106.6	115	Ammerud BLNO offensive edge
DRTG	115	106.6	lower is better
NET RTG	-8.5	8.5	single-game net
eFG%	60.6%	55.3%	shot value
TS%	61%	59.5%	free throws included
TOV%	18.2%	15.6%	possessions ending early
OREB%	16.7%	41.9%	second-chance share
FTr	16.9%	31.6%	FTA per FGA
AST/FGM%	75.8%	55%	assisted makes
3PA rate	62%	25%	perimeter diet

Possessions = FGA + 0.44 x FTA - OREB + TOV. DRTG uses opponent points over each team's estimated possessions.

SHOT ECONOMY

SHOOTING CHANNEL	Bærum Basket	Ammerud BLNO	ANALYTICAL READING
Points from 2PT	26	72	interior scoring
Points from 3PT	60	12	perimeter scoring
Points from FT	7	19	line pressure
2PT FG%	48.1%	63.2%	conversion
3PT FG%	45.5%	21.1%	perimeter conversion
FT%	58.3%	79.2%	free-throw conversion
Paint / 2PT attempt share	38%	75%	interior volume
3PT attempt share	62%	25%	perimeter volume

WHAT THIS MEANS ON COURT

The comparison must distinguish makes, attempts and points. Identical three-point makes can coexist with very different efficiency if one team needs more attempts; the higher-leverage separator is usually the combination of two-point conversion, turnovers and free-throw pressure.

SHOT-TIME PROFILE

WINDOW	H FGA	H FG%	H eFG%	H PTS	A FGA	A FG%	A eFG%	A PTS
EARLY | 24-17 sec	13	38.5%	42.3%	11	24	54.2%	56.3%	27
MIDDLE | 16-9 sec	37	48.6%	64.9%	48	26	53.8%	55.8%	29
LATE | 8-0 sec	12	50%	62.5%	15	19	52.6%	52.6%	20
CLASSIFIED TOTAL	62	53.2%	53.2%	86	69	58%	58%	84

IMPORTANT INTERPRETATION

Classified attempts: 62/71 for Bærum Basket and 69/76 for Ammerud BLNO. No official shot-clock field exists in the source; windows are reconstructed from game-clock events, made baskets, rebounds, turnovers and qualifying resets.

POSSESSION BATTLE

POSSESSION EVENT	Bærum Basket	Ammerud BLNO	COACHING INTERPRETATION
Estimated possessions	87.3	89.6	volume
Turnovers	17	16	lost opportunities
Steals	8	8	disruption
Offensive rebounds	6	13	extended possessions
Defensive rebounds	18	30	finished sequences
Total rebounds	24	43	overall board
Blocks	1	1	rim protection

PBP events loaded: 874. Scoring is checked against the official final score before a report is generated.

Bærum Basket - FULL PLAYER TABLE

PLAYER	MIN	PTS	FGA	3PA	FTA	2P%	3P%	eFG%	TS%	USG%	AST	TO	REB
Stian Mjøs	40	28	19	14	2	40	57.1	73.7	70.4	25.1	7	2	1
Dante Olsen	35.2	14	14	12	2	0	33.3	42.9	47	19.3	2	2	4
Pau Tendero Salom	34.8	17	11	0	6	63.6	0	63.6	62.3	22.5	10	6	7
Filip Rypdal Øverås	26.6	13	12	7	0	40	42.9	54.2	54.2	14.9	2	1	4
Jakob Ellingsen	20.3	7	7	5	2	50	20	35.7	44.4	11.3	1	2	2
Benjamin Dyve	13	8	4	2	0	50	100	100	100	5.7	0	1	3
Kevin Austria	11.9	3	2	2	0	0	50	75	75	5.7	2	3	3
Marius Mjøs	9.3	3	1	1	0	0	100	150	150	1.1	1	0	0
William Rødsten Kristiansen	5.8	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1.1	0	0	0
Samuel Themba Barmen	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

eFG% accounts for the added value of three-point makes. TS% includes free throws. USG% estimates the share of team possessions finished by the player.

Ammerud BLNO - FULL PLAYER TABLE

PLAYER	MIN	PTS	FGA	3PA	FTA	2P%	3P%	eFG%	TS%	USG%	AST	TO	REB
Gabriel Max Hadley	40	25	24	9	7	40	22.2	37.5	46.2	31.4	7	1	3
William Bryan Cranston-Lown	32.3	20	11	3	6	62.5	66.7	72.7	73.3	23	7	7	11
Henrik Male Davidsen	30	16	10	1	4	77.8	0	70	68	15.4	2	2	11
Oleksander Horiuk	29.3	28	18	0	0	77.8	0	77.8	77.8	22.3	1	2	14
Mio Mandt	25.7	5	2	2	6	0	0	0	53.9	5.2	0	0	1
Vuk Vucetic	15.8	4	5	3	0	100	0	40	40	6.7	2	1	2
Sebastian Nordstrøm Larsen	12.7	2	4	0	0	25	0	25	25	4.5	0	0	0
William Dronsett	7.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.2	2	2	0
Oluwateniola Adegbenro Wood	6.9	3	2	1	1	100	0	50	61.5	3.8	1	1	1
Julian Maximilian Haneborg	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

eFG% accounts for the added value of three-point makes. TS% includes free throws. USG% estimates the share of team possessions finished by the player.

PLAYER PRODUCTION PER 100

PLAYER	TEAM	PTS/100	REB/100	AST/100	STL/100	TO/100	TS%	USG%
Stian Mjøs	Bærum Basket	32.1	1.1	8	2.3	2.3	70.4	25.1
Oleksander Horiuk	Ammerud BLNO	31.3	15.6	1.1	2.2	2.2	77.8	22.3
Gabriel Max Hadley	Ammerud BLNO	27.9	3.3	7.8	1.1	1.1	46.2	31.4
William Bryan Cranston-Lown	Ammerud BLNO	22.3	12.3	7.8	1.1	7.8	73.3	23
Pau Tendero Salom	Bærum Basket	19.5	8	11.5	1.1	6.9	62.3	22.5
Henrik Male Davidsen	Ammerud BLNO	17.9	12.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	68	15.4
Dante Olsen	Bærum Basket	16	4.6	2.3	2.3	2.3	47	19.3
Filip Rypdal Øverås	Bærum Basket	14.9	4.6	2.3	0	1.1	54.2	14.9
Benjamin Dyve	Bærum Basket	9.2	3.4	0	1.1	1.1	100	5.7
Jakob Ellingsen	Bærum Basket	8	2.3	1.1	1.1	2.3	44.4	11.3
Mio Mandt	Ammerud BLNO	5.6	1.1	0	1.1	0	53.9	5.2
Vuk Vucetic	Ammerud BLNO	4.5	2.2	2.2	0	1.1	40	6.7
Kevin Austria	Bærum Basket	3.4	3.4	2.3	1.1	3.4	75	5.7
Marius Mjøs	Bærum Basket	3.4	0	1.1	0	0	150	1.1
Oluwateniola Adegbenro Wood	Ammerud BLNO	3.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	61.5	3.8
Sebastian Nordstrøm Larsen	Ammerud BLNO	2.2	0	0	0	0	25	4.5
William Rødsten Kristiansen	Bærum Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.1
Samuel Themba Barmen	Bærum Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
William Dronsett	Ammerud BLNO	0	0	2.2	0	2.2	0	2.2
Julian Maximilian Hanebong	Ammerud BLNO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Per-100 values are normalised to each team's estimated possessions. They are not season averages and should be interpreted alongside minutes and role.

PLAYER-LEVEL ANALYST READ

Ammerud BLNO: EFFICIENT CORE

Benjamin Dyve (8 pts, 100 TS%, 9.2 PTS/100), Oleksander Horiuk (28 pts, 77.8 TS%, 31.3 PTS/100), William Bryan Cranston-Lown (20 pts, 73.3 TS%, 22.3 PTS/100) led the most efficient scoring profiles among players with a meaningful attempt sample.

SCORING DISTRIBUTION

The leading scorers were Stian Mjøs (28), Oleksander Horiuk (28), Gabriel Max Hadley (25). The key question is whether the scoring came from sustainable shot quality, free throws or high-volume attempts.

CREATION AND SECURITY

Pau Tendero Salom supplied 10 assists. William Bryan Cranston-Lown recorded 7 turnovers, so creation quality must be evaluated together with possession cost.

DATA, METHODS AND CONFIDENCE

OFFICIAL BOX SCORE

Team and player totals come from the official match record.

POSSESSION FORMULA

Estimated possessions = FGA + 0.44 x FTA - OREB + TOV.

ADVANCED RATES

ORTG, DRTG, NET RTG, eFG%, TS%, TOV%, OREB%, FTr, AST/FGM% and 3PA rate are calculated from the box score.

PLAYER RATES

PTS/100, REB/100, AST/100, STL/100 and TO/100 use each team's estimated possessions. USG% is the player's estimated finishing share.

SHOT-TIME RECONSTRUCTION

The play-by-play has game-clock events but no official shot-clock field. Possession starts and resets are reconstructed from event order.

QUALITY CONTROL

874 play-by-play events were loaded; scoring is validated against the final score and malformed parent events are excluded from chronology calculations.

LIMITATION

This is a one-game descriptive analysis. It explains this match and does not establish a repeatable team identity without a larger sample.

FINAL COACHING CONCLUSIONS

FOR BÆRUM BASKET

Protect the most efficient shot areas, reduce possessions ending in live-ball turnovers and connect offensive rebounds to higher-value attempts. Compare the team's 2PT, 3PT and free-throw profile before making a shooting-only diagnosis.

FOR AMMERUD BLNO

Preserve the possession and shot-quality advantages that produced the result, but test whether the same profile survives against a different defensive scheme and a larger sample.

MATCH IN ONE SENTENCE

Ammerud BLNO combined the stronger points-per-possession profile with the better balance between shot value, possession security and scoring distribution.