NORDIC HOOPS ANALYTICS

TECHNICAL GAME REPORT

BOX SCORE + PLAY-BY-PLAY ANALYSIS

Kongsberg Miners 85 - 61 Centrum Tigers

27/09/2026 | BLNO MEN

EXECUTIVE ANALYST REPORT

MATCH VERDICT

Kongsberg Miners won by 24 through the combined effect of 33.4 points of offensive-rating advantage, stronger shot conversion and the ability to protect more possessions.

1. SHOT VALUE: Kongsberg Miners 56.7% eFG% versus Centrum Tigers 42.1%.
2. POSSESSION SECURITY: TOV% was 19.3% versus 19.8%.
3. OFFENSIVE REBOUNDING: OREB totals were 10 versus 5; extra chances must be connected to efficient scoring.
4. PACE: estimated possessions were 78 and 80.7, not simply the number of field-goal attempts.
5. GAME CONTROL: the scoring flow below identifies when the margin expanded or contracted.

SCORING FLOW AND TEMPO

PERIOD	Kongsberg Miners	Centrum Tigers	CUMULATIVE
Q1	25	14	25 - 14
Q2	30	17	55 - 31
Q3	15	13	70 - 44
Q4	15	17	85 - 61

SCORING RATE / GAME TEMPO

METRIC	Kongsberg Miners	Centrum Tigers
Points per minute	2.13	1.52
Points per possession	1.09	0.756
Possessions per minute	1.95	2.02
Seconds per possession	30.8	29.7
Estimated ORTG	109	75.6

CUMULATIVE SCORE



Kongsberg Miners 85 Centrum Tigers 61

ANALYST NOTE

The pace profile is separated from the scoring profile: a team can play at similar volume but generate a very different number of points per possession. Kongsberg Miners scored 1.09 points per estimated possession.

COMPLETE TEAM BOX SCORE

STAT	Kongsberg Miners	Centrum Tigers	WHY IT MATTERS
Points	85	61	24
FGM - FGA	31 - 60	24 - 63	7 makes
2PT	25/46	19/38	interior conversion
3PT	6/14	5/25	perimeter volume
FT	17/25	8/13	line pressure
OREB - DREB	10 - 27	5 - 15	5 OREB
Total rebounds	37	20	second chances
Assists	18	6	creation
Turnovers	17	17	possession cost
Steals	9	7	pressure
Blocks	4	2	rim events

ADVANCED TEAM PROFILE

METRIC	Kongsberg Miners	Centrum Tigers	INTERPRETATION
ORTG	109	75.6	Kongsberg Miners offensive edge
DRTG	75.6	109	lower is better
NET RTG	33.4	-33.4	single-game net
eFG%	56.7%	42.1%	shot value
TS%	59.9%	44.4%	free throws included
TOV%	19.3%	19.8%	possessions ending early
OREB%	40%	15.6%	second-chance share
FTr	41.7%	20.6%	FTA per FGA
AST/FGM%	58.1%	25%	assisted makes
3PA rate	23.3%	39.7%	perimeter diet

Possessions = FGA + 0.44 x FTA - OREB + TOV. DRTG uses opponent points over each team's estimated possessions.

SHOT ECONOMY

SHOOTING CHANNEL	Kongsberg Miners	Centrum Tigers	ANALYTICAL READING
Points from 2PT	50	38	interior scoring
Points from 3PT	18	15	perimeter scoring
Points from FT	17	8	line pressure
2PT FG%	54.3%	50%	conversion
3PT FG%	42.9%	20%	perimeter conversion
FT%	68%	61.5%	free-throw conversion
Paint / 2PT attempt share	76.7%	60.3%	interior volume
3PT attempt share	23.3%	39.7%	perimeter volume

WHAT THIS MEANS ON COURT

The comparison must distinguish makes, attempts and points. Identical three-point makes can coexist with very different efficiency if one team needs more attempts; the higher-leverage separator is usually the combination of two-point conversion, turnovers and free-throw pressure.

SHOT-TIME PROFILE

WINDOW	H FGA	H FG%	H eFG%	H PTS	A FGA	A FG%	A eFG%	A PTS
EARLY | 24-17 sec	18	61.1%	61.1%	22	8	37.5%	37.5%	6
MIDDLE | 16-9 sec	13	53.8%	65.4%	17	16	31.3%	37.5%	12
LATE | 8-0 sec	14	57.1%	60.7%	17	16	37.5%	43.8%	14
CLASSIFIED TOTAL	45	68.9%	68.9%	68	40	60%	60%	53

IMPORTANT INTERPRETATION

Classified attempts: 45/60 for Kongsberg Miners and 40/63 for Centrum Tigers. No official shot-clock field exists in the source; windows are reconstructed from game-clock events, made baskets, rebounds, turnovers and qualifying resets.

POSSESSION BATTLE

POSSESSION EVENT	Kongsberg Miners	Centrum Tigers	COACHING INTERPRETATION
Estimated possessions	78	80.7	volume
Turnovers	17	17	lost opportunities
Steals	9	7	disruption
Offensive rebounds	10	5	extended possessions
Defensive rebounds	27	15	finished sequences
Total rebounds	37	20	overall board
Blocks	4	2	rim protection

PBP events loaded: 878. Scoring is checked against the official final score before a report is generated.

Kongsberg Miners - FULL PLAYER TABLE

PLAYER	MIN	PTS	FGA	3PA	FTA	2P%	3P%	eFG%	TS%	USG%	AST	TO	REB
JoJo Anderson	28.4	20	10	4	8	33.3	75	65	74	23.7	2	5	3
Joe Cookson	26.8	21	17	5	1	58.3	40	58.8	60.2	24.9	6	2	8
Donnie Tillman	26.4	7	10	1	4	33.3	0	30	29.8	16.4	5	1	11
Karl Sigurd Madsen	22.7	4	5	1	0	50	0	40	40	10.3	0	3	2
Erik Borg	20.2	10	3	0	4	100	0	100	105	7.4	1	1	5
Brage Vejlgaard Sørensen	18.4	5	4	0	2	50	0	50	51.2	7.5	1	1	5
Ludvig Bergh	16.5	6	5	1	4	50	0	40	44.4	9.9	1	1	1
Joshua Ipalibo Hart	15.2	8	3	1	2	100	100	116.7	103.1	5	1	0	1
Arian Rambod Hagen	10.2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	5.1	0	3	0
Santino Yosef Esdrelon	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Ravn Dam Frandsen	4.9	4	2	0	0	100	0	100	100	2.6	1	0	0
Nikolai Skjersli del Pozo	4.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

eFG% accounts for the added value of three-point makes. TS% includes free throws. USG% estimates the share of team possessions finished by the player.

Centrum Tigers - FULL PLAYER TABLE

PLAYER	MIN	PTS	FGA	3PA	FTA	2P%	3P%	eFG%	TS%	USG%	AST	TO	REB
Johannes Dolven	31	14	12	0	2	50	0	50	54.3	19.7	1	3	7
Malik Abdul Rauf	29.3	17	9	3	2	100	33.3	83.3	86	17.2	0	4	5
Martin Døvle Mogeland	25.1	2	8	3	0	20	0	12.5	12.5	11.1	0	1	2
Skage Spernes Eidholm	23.4	7	5	1	3	25	100	50	55.4	10.3	0	2	2
Mats Aarnseth Selboe	21.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Matthew Bernarte Garcia	20.6	10	12	6	4	66.7	0	33.3	36.3	19.5	1	2	0
Morten Midtlie Holsæter	19.4	5	10	7	2	33.3	14.3	25	23	18.4	2	4	0
Trygve Anker Olsen	14.7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	1	1	2
Alexford Obeng	9.8	3	2	2	0	0	50	75	75	2.5	0	0	0
Nordahl Farstadvoll-Furnes	5.1	3	4	3	0	0	33.3	37.5	37.5	5	0	0	0

eFG% accounts for the added value of three-point makes. TS% includes free throws. USG% estimates the share of team possessions finished by the player.

PLAYER PRODUCTION PER 100

PLAYER	TEAM	PTS/100	REB/100	AST/100	STL/100	TO/100	TS%	USG%
Joe Cookson	Kongsberg Miners	26.9	10.3	7.7	3.8	2.6	60.2	24.9
JoJo Anderson	Kongsberg Miners	25.6	3.8	2.6	0	6.4	74	23.7
Malik Abdul Rauf	Centrum Tigers	21.1	6.2	0	1.2	5	86	17.2
Johannes Dolven	Centrum Tigers	17.3	8.7	1.2	0	3.7	54.3	19.7
Erik Borg	Kongsberg Miners	12.8	6.4	1.3	0	1.3	105	7.4
Matthew Bernarte Garcia	Centrum Tigers	12.4	0	1.2	0	2.5	36.3	19.5
Joshua Ipalibo Hart	Kongsberg Miners	10.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	0	103.1	5
Donnie Tillman	Kongsberg Miners	9	14.1	6.4	1.3	1.3	29.8	16.4
Skage Spernes Eidholm	Centrum Tigers	8.7	2.5	0	0	2.5	55.4	10.3
Ludvig Bergh	Kongsberg Miners	7.7	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	44.4	9.9
Brage Vejlgaard Sørensen	Kongsberg Miners	6.4	6.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	51.2	7.5
Morten Midtlie Holsæter	Centrum Tigers	6.2	0	2.5	1.2	5	23	18.4
Karl Sigurd Madsen	Kongsberg Miners	5.1	2.6	0	1.3	3.8	40	10.3
Ravn Dam Frandsen	Kongsberg Miners	5.1	0	1.3	1.3	0	100	2.6
Alexford Obeng	Centrum Tigers	3.7	0	0	0	0	75	2.5
Nordahl Farstadvoll-Furnes	Centrum Tigers	3.7	0	0	1.2	0	37.5	5
Martin Døvle Mogeland	Centrum Tigers	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	1.2	12.5	11.1
Mats Aarnseth Selboe	Centrum Tigers	0	2.5	1.2	1.2	0	0	0
Trygve Anker Olsen	Centrum Tigers	0	2.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	0	2.5
Arian Rambod Hagen	Kongsberg Miners	0	0	0	0	3.8	0	5.1
Santino Yosef Esdrelon	Kongsberg Miners	0	1.3	0	0	0	0	0
Nikolai Skjersli del Pozo	Kongsberg Miners	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Per-100 values are normalised to each team's estimated possessions. They are not season averages and should be interpreted alongside minutes and role.

PLAYER-LEVEL ANALYST READ

Kongsberg Miners: EFFICIENT CORE

Malik Abdul Rauf (17 pts, 86 TS%, 21.1 PTS/100), JoJo Anderson (20 pts, 74 TS%, 25.6 PTS/100), Joe Cookson (21 pts, 60.2 TS%, 26.9 PTS/100) led the most efficient scoring profiles among players with a meaningful attempt sample.

SCORING DISTRIBUTION

The leading scorers were Joe Cookson (21), JoJo Anderson (20), Malik Abdul Rauf (17). The key question is whether the scoring came from sustainable shot quality, free throws or high-volume attempts.

CREATION AND SECURITY

Joe Cookson supplied 6 assists. JoJo Anderson recorded 5 turnovers, so creation quality must be evaluated together with possession cost.

DATA, METHODS AND CONFIDENCE

OFFICIAL BOX SCORE

Team and player totals come from the official match record.

POSSESSION FORMULA

Estimated possessions = FGA + 0.44 x FTA - OREB + TOV.

ADVANCED RATES

ORTG, DRTG, NET RTG, eFG%, TS%, TOV%, OREB%, FTr, AST/FGM% and 3PA rate are calculated from the box score.

PLAYER RATES

PTS/100, REB/100, AST/100, STL/100 and TO/100 use each team's estimated possessions. USG% is the player's estimated finishing share.

SHOT-TIME RECONSTRUCTION

The play-by-play has game-clock events but no official shot-clock field. Possession starts and resets are reconstructed from event order.

QUALITY CONTROL

878 play-by-play events were loaded; scoring is validated against the final score and malformed parent events are excluded from chronology calculations.

LIMITATION

This is a one-game descriptive analysis. It explains this match and does not establish a repeatable team identity without a larger sample.

FINAL COACHING CONCLUSIONS

FOR KONGSBERG MINERS

Protect the most efficient shot areas, reduce possessions ending in live-ball turnovers and connect offensive rebounds to higher-value attempts. Compare the team's 2PT, 3PT and free-throw profile before making a shooting-only diagnosis.

FOR CENTRUM TIGERS

Preserve the possession and shot-quality advantages that produced the result, but test whether the same profile survives against a different defensive scheme and a larger sample.

MATCH IN ONE SENTENCE

Kongsberg Miners combined the stronger points-per-possession profile with the better balance between shot value, possession security and scoring distribution.