

NORDIC HOOPS ANALYTICS

TECHNICAL GAME REPORT

BOX SCORE + PLAY-BY-PLAY ANALYSIS



Nidaros Jets 82 - 96 Bærum Basket

26/09/2026 | BLNO MEN

EXECUTIVE ANALYST REPORT

MATCH VERDICT

Bærum Basket won by 14 through the combined effect of 15.9 points of offensive-rating advantage, stronger shot conversion and the ability to protect more possessions.

- 1** SHOT VALUE: Nidaros Jets 46.8% eFG% versus Bærum Basket 45.1%.
- 2** POSSESSION SECURITY: TOV% was 18.6% versus 12.7%.
- 3** OFFENSIVE REBOUNDING: OREB totals were 10 versus 19; extra chances must be connected to efficient scoring.
- 4** PACE: estimated possessions were 92.2 and 91.5, not simply the number of field-goal attempts.
- 5** GAME CONTROL: the scoring flow below identifies when the margin expanded or contracted.


SCORING FLOW AND TEMPO

PERIOD	Nidaros Jets	Bærum Basket	CUMULATIVE
Q1	21	26	21 - 26
Q2	23	26	44 - 52
Q3	15	18	59 - 70
Q4	23	26	82 - 96

SCORING RATE / GAME TEMPO

METRIC	Nidaros Jets	Bærum Basket
Points per minute	2.05	2.4
Points per possession	0.89	1.049
Possessions per minute	2.3	2.29
Seconds per possession	26	26.2
Estimated ORTG	89	104.9

CUMULATIVE SCORE



ANALYST NOTE

The pace profile is separated from the scoring profile: a team can play at similar volume but generate a very different number of points per possession. Bærum Basket scored 1.049 points per estimated possession.

COMPLETE TEAM BOX SCORE

STAT	Nidaros Jets	Bærum Basket	WHY IT MATTERS
Points	82	96	-14
FGM - FGA	29 - 77	33 - 82	-4 makes
2PT	15/34	25/61	interior conversion
3PT	14/43	8/21	perimeter volume
FT	10/14	22/33	line pressure
OREB - DREB	10 - 30	19 - 33	-9 OREB
Total rebounds	40	52	second chances
Assists	20	20	creation
Turnovers	19	14	possession cost
Steals	11	13	pressure
Blocks	4	2	rim events

ADVANCED TEAM PROFILE

METRIC	Nidaros Jets	Bærum Basket	INTERPRETATION
ORTG	89	104.9	Bærum Basket offensive edge
DRTG	104.9	89	lower is better
NET RTG	-15.9	15.9	single-game net
eFG%	46.8%	45.1%	shot value
TS%	49.3%	49.7%	free throws included
TOV%	18.6%	12.7%	possessions ending early
OREB%	23.3%	38.8%	second-chance share
FTr	18.2%	40.2%	FTA per FGA
AST/FGM%	69%	60.6%	assisted makes
3PA rate	55.8%	25.6%	perimeter diet

Possessions = FGA + 0.44 x FTA - OREB + TOV. DRTG uses opponent points over each team's estimated possessions.

SHOT ECONOMY

SHOOTING CHANNEL	Nidaros Jets	Bærum Basket	ANALYTICAL READING
Points from 2PT	30	50	interior scoring
Points from 3PT	42	24	perimeter scoring
Points from FT	10	22	line pressure
2PT FG%	44.1%	41%	conversion
3PT FG%	32.6%	38.1%	perimeter conversion
FT%	71.4%	66.7%	free-throw conversion
Paint / 2PT attempt share	44.2%	74.4%	interior volume
3PT attempt share	55.8%	25.6%	perimeter volume

WHAT THIS MEANS ON COURT

The comparison must distinguish makes, attempts and points. Identical three-point makes can coexist with very different efficiency if one team needs more attempts; the higher-leverage separator is usually the combination of two-point conversion, turnovers and free-throw pressure.

SHOT-TIME PROFILE

WINDOW	H FGA	H FG%	H eFG%	H PTS	A FGA	A FG%	A eFG%	A PTS
EARLY 24-17 sec	26	34.6%	38.5%	20	31	35.5%	40.3%	25
MIDDLE 16-9 sec	27	40.7%	51.9%	28	33	48.5%	54.5%	36
LATE 8-0 sec	13	23.1%	26.9%	7	10	50%	55%	11
CLASSIFIED TOTAL	66	43.9%	43.9%	72	74	44.6%	44.6%	74

IMPORTANT INTERPRETATION

Classified attempts: 66/77 for Nidaros Jets and 74/81 for Bærum Basket. No official shot-clock field exists in the source; windows are reconstructed from game-clock events, made baskets, rebounds, turnovers and qualifying resets.

POSSESSION BATTLE

POSSESSION EVENT	Nidaros Jets	Bærum Basket	COACHING INTERPRETATION
Estimated possessions	92.2	91.5	volume
Turnovers	19	14	lost opportunities
Steals	11	13	disruption
Offensive rebounds	10	19	extended possessions
Defensive rebounds	30	33	finished sequences
Total rebounds	40	52	overall board
Blocks	4	2	rim protection

PBP events loaded: 1135. Scoring is checked against the official final score before a report is generated.

Nidaros Jets - FULL PLAYER TABLE

PLAYER	MIN	PTS	FGA	3PA	FTA	2P%	3P%	eFG%	TS%	USG%	AST	TO	REB
Kevin Kwame Leraand	31.5	11	10	6	2	50	33.3	50	50.6	14	3	2	6
Rokas Lukas Pocius	31.2	6	9	7	0	0	28.6	33.3	33.3	13	7	3	5
Pietro Mortarino	27.5	28	19	9	7	50	44.4	57.9	63.4	29.4	2	5	6
Dennis Valentino Tydeman Nordmark	22.8	12	9	5	2	50	40	55.6	60.7	12.9	2	2	7
Oliver Edvardsen Øvermo	22.6	11	11	6	0	20	50	50	50	15.2	3	3	4
Hallgrim Kiludidi Resheim	18.8	7	5	3	1	100	33.3	70	64.3	8.1	0	2	3
Tobias Edvardsen Øvermo	17.9	2	7	3	0	25	0	14.3	14.3	9.8	3	2	3
Gustavas Urbonas	16.6	5	3	0	2	66.7	0	66.7	64.4	4.2	0	0	4
Eskil Berg Sørensen	10.8	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	4.3	0	0	2

eFG% accounts for the added value of three-point makes. TS% includes free throws. USG% estimates the share of team possessions finished by the player.

Bærum Basket - FULL PLAYER TABLE

PLAYER	MIN	PTS	FGA	3PA	FTA	2P%	3P%	eFG%	TS%	USG%	AST	TO	REB
Pau Tendero Salom	39.4	16	19	0	6	36.8	0	36.8	37	29.1	7	5	20
Stian Mjøs	38.8	21	13	4	8	44.4	50	53.8	63.6	23.5	6	5	5
Dante Olsen	29.3	21	21	6	4	53.3	16.7	45.2	46.1	26	0	1	10
Jakob Ellingsen	27.9	13	8	6	6	0	50	56.3	61.1	12.7	2	1	4
Filip Rypdal Øverås	26.2	12	12	2	1	40	50	45.8	48.2	15.8	2	2	7
Kevin Austria	17.6	9	7	3	6	25	33.3	35.7	46.7	10.5	2	0	1
Benjamin Dyve	8	4	1	0	2	100	0	100	106.4	2.1	1	0	1
William Rødsten Kristiansen	7.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Marius Mjøs	5.7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.1	0	0	2

eFG% accounts for the added value of three-point makes. TS% includes free throws. USG% estimates the share of team possessions finished by the player.

PLAYER PRODUCTION PER 100

PLAYER	TEAM	PTS/100	REB/100	AST/100	STL/100	TO/100	TS%	USG%
Pietro Mortarino	Nidaros Jets	30.4	6.5	2.2	2.2	5.4	63.4	29.4
Stian Mjøs	Bærum Basket	22.9	5.5	6.6	2.2	5.5	63.6	23.5
Dante Olsen	Bærum Basket	22.9	10.9	0	3.3	1.1	46.1	26
Pau Tendero Salom	Bærum Basket	17.5	21.9	7.6	3.3	5.5	37	29.1
Jakob Ellingsen	Bærum Basket	14.2	4.4	2.2	2.2	1.1	61.1	12.7
Filip Rypdal Øverås	Bærum Basket	13.1	7.6	2.2	2.2	2.2	48.2	15.8
Dennis Valentino Tydeman Nordskjold	Nidaros Jets	13	7.6	2.2	0	2.2	60.7	12.9
Kevin Kwame Leraand	Nidaros Jets	11.9	6.5	3.3	1.1	2.2	50.6	14
Oliver Edvardsen Øvermo	Nidaros Jets	11.9	4.3	3.3	0	3.3	50	15.2
Kevin Austria	Bærum Basket	9.8	1.1	2.2	0	0	46.7	10.5
Hallgrim Kiludidi Resheim	Nidaros Jets	7.6	3.3	0	2.2	2.2	64.3	8.1
Rokas Lukas Pocius	Nidaros Jets	6.5	5.4	7.6	1.1	3.3	33.3	13
Gustavas Urbonas	Nidaros Jets	5.4	4.3	0	2.2	0	64.4	4.2
Benjamin Dyve	Bærum Basket	4.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	0	106.4	2.1
Tobias Edvardsen Øvermo	Nidaros Jets	2.2	3.3	3.3	2.2	2.2	14.3	9.8
Eskil Berg Sørensen	Nidaros Jets	0	2.2	0	1.1	0	0	4.3
William Rødsten Kristiansen	Bærum Basket	0	2.2	0	0	0	0	0
Marius Mjøs	Bærum Basket	0	2.2	0	0	0	0	1.1

Per-100 values are normalised to each team's estimated possessions. They are not season averages and should be interpreted alongside minutes and role.

PLAYER-LEVEL ANALYST READ

Bærum Basket: EFFICIENT CORE

Hallgrim Kiludidi Resheim (7 pts, 64.3 TS%, 7.6 PTS/100), Stian Mjøs (21 pts, 63.6 TS%, 22.9 PTS/100), Pietro Mortarino (28 pts, 63.4 TS%, 30.4 PTS/100) led the most efficient scoring profiles among players with a meaningful attempt sample.

SCORING DISTRIBUTION

The leading scorers were Pietro Mortarino (28), Stian Mjøs (21), Dante Olsen (21). The key question is whether the scoring came from sustainable shot quality, free throws or high-volume attempts.

CREATION AND SECURITY

Rokas Lukas Pocius supplied 7 assists. Pietro Mortarino recorded 5 turnovers, so creation quality must be evaluated together with possession cost.

DATA, METHODS AND CONFIDENCE

OFFICIAL BOX SCORE

Team and player totals come from the official match record.

POSSESSION FORMULA

Estimated possessions = FGA + 0.44 x FTA - OREB + TOV.

ADVANCED RATES

ORTG, DRTG, NET RTG, eFG%, TS%, TOV%, OREB%, FTr, AST/FGM% and 3PA rate are calculated from the box score.

PLAYER RATES

PTS/100, REB/100, AST/100, STL/100 and TO/100 use each team's estimated possessions. USG% is the player's estimated finishing share.

SHOT-TIME RECONSTRUCTION

The play-by-play has game-clock events but no official shot-clock field. Possession starts and resets are reconstructed from event order.

QUALITY CONTROL

1135 play-by-play events were loaded; scoring is validated against the final score and malformed parent events are excluded from chronology calculations.

LIMITATION

This is a one-game descriptive analysis. It explains this match and does not establish a repeatable team identity without a larger sample.

FINAL COACHING CONCLUSIONS

FOR NIDAROS JETS

Protect the most efficient shot areas, reduce possessions ending in live-ball turnovers and connect offensive rebounds to higher-value attempts. Compare the team's 2PT, 3PT and free-throw profile before making a shooting-only diagnosis.

FOR BÆRUM BASKET

Preserve the possession and shot-quality advantages that produced the result, but test whether the same profile survives against a different defensive scheme and a larger sample.

MATCH IN ONE SENTENCE

Bærum Basket combined the stronger points-per-possession profile with the better balance between shot value, possession security and scoring distribution.