NORDIC HOOPS ANALYTICS

TECHNICAL GAME REPORT

BOX SCORE + PLAY-BY-PLAY ANALYSIS

Gimle 103 - 60 Tromsø Storm

27/09/2026 | BLNO MEN

EXECUTIVE ANALYST REPORT

MATCH VERDICT

Gimle won by 43 through the combined effect of 53.5 points of offensive-rating advantage, stronger shot conversion and the ability to protect more possessions.

1. SHOT VALUE: Gimle 58.2% eFG% versus Tromsø Storm 35.3%.
2. POSSESSION SECURITY: TOV% was 15.2% versus 21.3%.
3. OFFENSIVE REBOUNDING: OREB totals were 13 versus 9; extra chances must be connected to efficient scoring.
4. PACE: estimated possessions were 85.6 and 89.7, not simply the number of field-goal attempts.
5. GAME CONTROL: the scoring flow below identifies when the margin expanded or contracted.

SCORING FLOW AND TEMPO

PERIOD	Gimle	Tromsø Storm	CUMULATIVE
Q1	35	15	35 - 15
Q2	21	12	56 - 27
Q3	32	16	88 - 43
Q4	15	17	103 - 60

SCORING RATE / GAME TEMPO

METRIC	Gimle	Tromsø Storm
Points per minute	2.58	1.5
Points per possession	1.204	0.669
Possessions per minute	2.14	2.24
Seconds per possession	28.1	26.8
Estimated ORTG	120.4	66.9

CUMULATIVE SCORE



Gimle 103 Tromsø Storm 60

ANALYST NOTE

The pace profile is separated from the scoring profile: a team can play at similar volume but generate a very different number of points per possession. Gimle scored 1.204 points per estimated possession.

COMPLETE TEAM BOX SCORE

STAT	Gimle	Tromsø Storm	WHY IT MATTERS
Points	103	60	43
FGM - FGA	37 - 73	23 - 68	14 makes
2PT	26/38	21/49	interior conversion
3PT	11/35	2/19	perimeter volume
FT	18/24	12/22	line pressure
OREB - DREB	13 - 27	9 - 18	4 OREB
Total rebounds	40	27	second chances
Assists	16	11	creation
Turnovers	15	21	possession cost
Steals	11	7	pressure
Blocks	6	1	rim events

ADVANCED TEAM PROFILE

METRIC	Gimle	Tromsø Storm	INTERPRETATION
ORTG	120.4	66.9	Gimle offensive edge
DRTG	66.9	120.4	lower is better
NET RTG	53.5	-53.5	single-game net
eFG%	58.2%	35.3%	shot value
TS%	61.6%	38.6%	free throws included
TOV%	15.2%	21.3%	possessions ending early
OREB%	41.9%	25%	second-chance share
FTr	32.9%	32.4%	FTA per FGA
AST/FGM%	43.2%	47.8%	assisted makes
3PA rate	47.9%	27.9%	perimeter diet

Possessions = FGA + 0.44 x FTA - OREB + TOV. DRTG uses opponent points over each team's estimated possessions.

SHOT ECONOMY

SHOOTING CHANNEL	Gimle	Tromsø Storm	ANALYTICAL READING
Points from 2PT	52	42	interior scoring
Points from 3PT	33	6	perimeter scoring
Points from FT	18	12	line pressure
2PT FG%	68.4%	42.9%	conversion
3PT FG%	31.4%	10.5%	perimeter conversion
FT%	75%	54.5%	free-throw conversion
Paint / 2PT attempt share	52.1%	72.1%	interior volume
3PT attempt share	47.9%	27.9%	perimeter volume

WHAT THIS MEANS ON COURT

The comparison must distinguish makes, attempts and points. Identical three-point makes can coexist with very different efficiency if one team needs more attempts; the higher-leverage separator is usually the combination of two-point conversion, turnovers and free-throw pressure.

SHOT-TIME PROFILE

WINDOW	H FGA	H FG%	H eFG%	H PTS	A FGA	A FG%	A eFG%	A PTS
EARLY | 24-17 sec	11	54.5%	63.6%	14	10	20%	20%	4
MIDDLE | 16-9 sec	27	51.9%	57.4%	31	29	31%	34.5%	20
LATE | 8-0 sec	17	41.2%	50%	17	14	35.7%	35.7%	10
CLASSIFIED TOTAL	55	67.3%	67.3%	85	53	43.4%	43.4%	48

IMPORTANT INTERPRETATION

Classified attempts: 55/73 for Gimle and 53/68 for Tromsø Storm. No official shot-clock field exists in the source; windows are reconstructed from game-clock events, made baskets, rebounds, turnovers and qualifying resets.

POSSESSION BATTLE

POSSESSION EVENT	Gimle	Tromsø Storm	COACHING INTERPRETATION
Estimated possessions	85.6	89.7	volume
Turnovers	15	21	lost opportunities
Steals	11	7	disruption
Offensive rebounds	13	9	extended possessions
Defensive rebounds	27	18	finished sequences
Total rebounds	40	27	overall board
Blocks	6	1	rim protection

PBP events loaded: 999. Scoring is checked against the official final score before a report is generated.

Gimle - FULL PLAYER TABLE

PLAYER	MIN	PTS	FGA	3PA	FTA	2P%	3P%	eFG%	TS%	USG%	AST	TO	REB
Jørgen Andreas Lamo	24.6	13	10	3	2	85.7	0	60	59.7	15.1	2	2	5
Justin William Mullins	24.2	27	14	7	8	100	28.6	71.4	77.1	22.8	3	2	7
Mikkel Bedason Kolstad	21.7	16	11	6	8	40	33.3	45.5	55.1	20.5	1	3	5
Sverre Berge Hannisdal	20.3	2	3	2	0	100	0	33.3	33.3	5.8	1	2	1
Milovan Savic	18.7	9	5	2	2	100	50	90	76.5	6.9	3	0	7
Aksel Svenkerud-Raundalen	17.5	8	8	5	0	33.3	40	50	50	10.5	1	1	0
Ruben Johannes Mulder	17.3	5	6	4	0	50	25	41.7	41.7	7	0	0	1
Jovan Radulovic	15.3	13	8	2	2	66.7	50	68.8	73.2	12.7	2	2	9
Tobias Aleksander Clemm	14.8	10	4	2	2	50	100	100	102.5	5.7	1	0	0
Kaleab Tadesse Washo	14.3	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	4.7	1	1	1
Sigurd Velle Sivertsen	11.3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.5	1	2	4

eFG% accounts for the added value of three-point makes. TS% includes free throws. USG% estimates the share of team possessions finished by the player.

Tromsø Storm - FULL PLAYER TABLE

PLAYER	MIN	PTS	FGA	3PA	FTA	2P%	3P%	eFG%	TS%	USG%	AST	TO	REB
Johannes Rydningen Lange	39.2	25	22	7	10	53.3	14.3	43.2	47.3	33.9	5	4	2
Anders Isaksen Solem	30.2	6	6	4	3	50	25	41.7	41	11.5	3	3	3
Isak Emanuel Ramirez Nilsen	29.3	12	15	2	6	30.8	0	26.7	34	25.2	1	5	4
David Berntsen	21.2	8	6	0	0	66.7	0	66.7	66.7	7.8	0	1	6
Jakob Heier Kantola	18.2	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	7.8	1	2	3
Trym Andreas Engelsen	17.4	2	4	0	0	25	0	25	25	6.7	1	2	2
Erlend Suhr Fandrem	13.1	6	4	0	1	75	0	75	67.6	6.1	0	1	4
Mathias Hansen Nymo	11.8	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4.5	0	2	3
Brage Haftor Engelsen	10.7	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1.1	0	0	0
Håkon Andre Skog	9	1	3	3	2	0	0	0	12.9	5.4	0	1	0

eFG% accounts for the added value of three-point makes. TS% includes free throws. USG% estimates the share of team possessions finished by the player.

PLAYER PRODUCTION PER 100

PLAYER	TEAM	PTS/100	REB/100	AST/100	STL/100	TO/100	TS%	USG%
Justin William Mullins	Gimle	31.6	8.2	3.5	1.2	2.3	77.1	22.8
Johannes Rydningen Lange	Tromsø Storm	27.9	2.2	5.6	1.1	4.5	47.3	33.9
Mikkel Bedason Kolstad	Gimle	18.7	5.8	1.2	4.7	3.5	55.1	20.5
Jørgen Andreas Lamo	Gimle	15.2	5.8	2.3	1.2	2.3	59.7	15.1
Jovan Radulovic	Gimle	15.2	10.5	2.3	2.3	2.3	73.2	12.7
Isak Emanuel Ramirez Nilsen	Tromsø Storm	13.4	4.5	1.1	2.2	5.6	34	25.2
Tobias Aleksander Clemm	Gimle	11.7	0	1.2	0	0	102.5	5.7
Milovan Savic	Gimle	10.5	8.2	3.5	0	0	76.5	6.9
Aksel Svenkerud-Raundalen	Gimle	9.4	0	1.2	2.3	1.2	50	10.5
David Berntsen	Tromsø Storm	8.9	6.7	0	0	1.1	66.7	7.8
Anders Isaksen Solem	Tromsø Storm	6.7	3.3	3.3	1.1	3.3	41	11.5
Erlend Suhr Fandrem	Tromsø Storm	6.7	4.5	0	1.1	1.1	67.6	6.1
Ruben Johannes Mulder	Gimle	5.8	1.2	0	0	0	41.7	7
Sverre Berge Hannisdal	Gimle	2.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	2.3	33.3	5.8
Trym Andreas Engelsen	Tromsø Storm	2.2	2.2	1.1	0	2.2	25	6.7
Håkon Andre Skog	Tromsø Storm	1.1	0	0	1.1	1.1	12.9	5.4
Jakob Heier Kantola	Tromsø Storm	0	3.3	1.1	1.1	2.2	0	7.8
Mathias Hansen Nymo	Tromsø Storm	0	3.3	0	0	2.2	0	4.5
Brage Haftor Engelsen	Tromsø Storm	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.1
Kaleab Tadesse Washo	Gimle	0	1.2	1.2	0	1.2	0	4.7
Sigurd Velle Sivertsen	Gimle	0	4.7	1.2	0	2.3	0	3.5

Per-100 values are normalised to each team's estimated possessions. They are not season averages and should be interpreted alongside minutes and role.

PLAYER-LEVEL ANALYST READ

Gimle: EFFICIENT CORE

Tobias Aleksander Clemm (10 pts, 102.5 TS%, 11.7 PTS/100), Justin William Mullins (27 pts, 77.1 TS%, 31.6 PTS/100), Milovan Savic (9 pts, 76.5 TS%, 10.5 PTS/100) led the most efficient scoring profiles among players with a meaningful attempt sample.

SCORING DISTRIBUTION

The leading scorers were Justin William Mullins (27), Johannes Rydningen Lange (25), Mikkel Bedason Kolstad (16). The key question is whether the scoring came from sustainable shot quality, free throws or high-volume attempts.

CREATION AND SECURITY

Johannes Rydningen Lange supplied 5 assists. Isak Emanuel Ramirez Nilsen recorded 5 turnovers, so creation quality must be evaluated together with possession cost.

DATA, METHODS AND CONFIDENCE

OFFICIAL BOX SCORE

Team and player totals come from the official match record.

POSSESSION FORMULA

Estimated possessions = FGA + 0.44 x FTA - OREB + TOV.

ADVANCED RATES

ORTG, DRTG, NET RTG, eFG%, TS%, TOV%, OREB%, FTr, AST/FGM% and 3PA rate are calculated from the box score.

PLAYER RATES

PTS/100, REB/100, AST/100, STL/100 and TO/100 use each team's estimated possessions. USG% is the player's estimated finishing share.

SHOT-TIME RECONSTRUCTION

The play-by-play has game-clock events but no official shot-clock field. Possession starts and resets are reconstructed from event order.

QUALITY CONTROL

999 play-by-play events were loaded; scoring is validated against the final score and malformed parent events are excluded from chronology calculations.

LIMITATION

This is a one-game descriptive analysis. It explains this match and does not establish a repeatable team identity without a larger sample.

FINAL COACHING CONCLUSIONS

FOR GIMLE

Protect the most efficient shot areas, reduce possessions ending in live-ball turnovers and connect offensive rebounds to higher-value attempts. Compare the team's 2PT, 3PT and free-throw profile before making a shooting-only diagnosis.

FOR TROMSØ STORM

Preserve the possession and shot-quality advantages that produced the result, but test whether the same profile survives against a different defensive scheme and a larger sample.

MATCH IN ONE SENTENCE

Gimle combined the stronger points-per-possession profile with the better balance between shot value, possession security and scoring distribution.